

Consultation Response**iGT096F: Correction to the iGT083 legal text
now iGT078/79 are complete**

Responses invited by: 25th May 2017

Respondent Details

Name: Gethyn Howard

Organisation: BUUK Infrastructure

Support Implementation Y

**Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your
support / opposition**

BUUK is supportive of IGT096F as it is addressing the inconsistencies between the legal text for iGT078, iGT079, iGT083 and iGT094F. Subsequent to the approval of iGT083, there has been a change in the understanding of how the PSR process interacts with the UNC Confirmation process for new supply points which is not reflected in the legal text of IGT078, IGT079 and IGT094F. Without this modification, the provisions of iGT078, iGT079, IGT094F and IGT083 will not tie up due to the sequencing and timing of the modification development. This change will therefore ensure that the new PSR ancillary documents are used as intended following Nexus implementation whilst preserving the intent of the PSR process.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

This modification is effectively a housekeeping change and we therefore are supportive of it progressing through the self-governance route.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

None identified.

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

This Modification better facilitates objective (f) "Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code".

This is as the changes address inaccuracies found during Code preparations for Project Nexus. Without these changes the legal text will not fully reflect actual industry processes introduced by the new PSR process which has already been approved by the iGT UNC Panel in their recommendations to implement modification iGT078, iGT079, iGT083 and iGT094F.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

None identified.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

This modification is required to be implemented at Project NEXUS Go Live in order that the modifications referenced above are all coherently reflected in the post NEXUS arrangements as of June 1st.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes, as the proposer of the modification and legal text, we believe that the legal text delivers the intent of the modification.

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

-

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com